IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2552 OF 2004

IN

REVIEW PETITION ST. NO. 22864 OF 2004
in

WRIT PETITION NO. 9588 OF 2003

Smt. Sumati M. Shinde ..... Petitioner.

versus

Thane Municipal Corpn. & ors..... Respondents.

.....

Ms. Leena Patil for the petitioner.
Mr. R.S. Apte for the Respondents.

.....

CORAM ; V.G.PALSHIKAR &
V. M. KANADE, JJ.

DATED; 27TH OCTOBER, 2004.

P.C.;

1. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the delay in filing the Review Petition is condoned.

2. By this petition, the petitioner seeks review of our earlier order dismissing the petition. A review is sought on the ground that though the promotion of Respondent no.3 was ad hoc and therefore confer no right, it was contended in that promotional order that it is subject to approval by the Government and therefore it is possible that the petitioner's case may not be considered.

3. We have squarely observed that the promotion was ad hoc and confer no right of any person. The right of the petitioner, of being considered for the promotional post, as quaranteed by Article 16 is therefore intact. If there is any infringement to that right in future, the petitioner shall always be at liberty to take appropriate action against such violation. Hence Review Petition is dismissed.

.....